arXiv:1408.0781v1 [math.RA] 4 Aug 2014

Internal Cancellation over SSP Rings

Huanyin Chen
Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou Normal University
Hangzhou 310036, People's Republic of China

Abstract

A ring is SSP if the sum of two direct summands is a direct summand. A ring has internal cancellation if every its (von Neumann) regular elements are unit-regular. We show that in an SSP ring having internal cancellation, any regular element is special clean. Our main results also imply that for any SSP ring internal cancellation and idempotent stable range 1 coincide with each other. Internal cancellation over SSP was then characterized by special clean elements.

Keywords: Idempotent stable range one; Regular elements; Special clean elements; SSP rings.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D70, 16E50.

1 Introduction

Let R be a ring with an identity. An element $a \in R$ is (unit) regular if there exists some (unit) $x \in R$ such that $a = axa$. A ring R is (unit) regular if and only if every element in R is (unit) regular. As is well known, a ring R is unit-regular if and only if every element in R is the product of an idempotent and a unit. For general theory of regular rings, we refer the reader to [7]. An element $a \in R$ is (special) clean if it is the sum of an idempotent e ($aR \bigcap eR = 0$) and a unit u. In [3, Theorem 5], Camillo and Yu claimed that every element in unit-regular rings is clean. Unfortunately, there was a gap in their proof. In [2, Theorem 1], Camillo and Khurana improved [3, Theorem 5] and proved that a ring R is unit-regular if and only if every element in R is special clean.

A ring R has stable range 1 provided that $Ra + Rb = R$ with $a, b \in R$ implies that $a + zb \in R$ is a unit. A regular ring R is unit-regular if and only if R has stable range 1. An interesting problem is to extend Camillo-Khurana's theorem to certain rings having stable range 1. A ring R is an exchange ring if for any $a \in R$ here exists an idempotent $e \in aR$ such that $1 - e \in (1 - a)R$. Clearly, every regular ring is an exchange ring. In [4, Theorem 2.1], the author proved that an exchange ring R has stable range 1 if and only if every regular element in R is special clean.

In [8], Khurana and Lam introduced internal cancellation (IC, for short) over a ring. A ring R is IC if every regular element in R is unit-regular. Obviously, a regular ring R is unit-regular if and only if R is IC. As is well known, a ring R is IC if and only if for any regular $a, b \in R$, $Ra + Rb = R$ implies that there exists a $z \in R$ such that $a + zb \in R$ is

a unit. Clearly, stable range 1 implies internal cancellation, but the converse is not true, e.g. $\mathbb{Z}$. For an exchange ring R, stable range 1 and internal cancellation coincide with each other [5, Lemma 1.3.1]. Many types of IC rings are investigated in [8]. A further attractive problem is to extend Camillo-Khurana's theorem to certain rings having IC. In [9], Wang et al. consider a kind of idempotent stable range one for rings having IC. In ring theoretic version, the main result in [9] stated

Theorem 1.1. Let R be a ring, let $a \in R$ is regular, and let $end_R(r(a))$ or $end_R(aR)$ has stable range 1. If R is IC, then $Ra + Rb = R$ implies that there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $a + eb \in U(R)$ and $R = aR \oplus eR$.

Then Camillo-Khurana's theorem will be a special case of this one. But stable range 1 is necessary in the preceding theorem, as the substitution of modules is essential in its proof. The motivation of this short note is to extend Camillo-Khurana's theorem in a broader context with no restriction of stable range 1.

Following Garcia [6], a ring R is said to being the summand sum property (briefly SSP) if the sum of two direct summands of R_R is also a direct summand of R. For instance, every (von Neumann)regular ring and every every abelian ring (idempotents are central, e.g., commutative rings, right duo rings) are SSP. We shall prove that for any SSP ring internal cancellation and idempotent stable range 1 coincide with each other. Then in an SSP ring having internal cancellation, any regular element is special clean. Internal cancellation over SSP was then characterized by special clean elements.

Throughout, all rings are associative with an identity. Let R be a ring. $U(R)$ will denote the set of all units in R. The right annihilator $r(a) = \{ r \in R \mid ar = 0\}$.

2 The main results

We begin with several lemmas which will be needed in our proof of the main results.

Lemma 2.1. *Every special clean element in a ring is unit-regular.*

Proof. Let $a \in R$ be special clean. Then there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ and a unit $u \in R$ such that $a = e + u$ and $aR \bigcap eR = 0$. Hence, $au^{-1} = eu^{-1} + 1$. Thus, $au^{-1}e = eu^{-1}e + e \in aR \bigcap eR = 0$. This yields $au^{-1}(a - u) = 0$, and so $au^{-1}a = a$. Therefore $a \in R$ is unit-regular. □

Lemma 2.2. [9, Proposition 2.2] Let M a right k-module, and let $R = End_k(M)$. Then two submodules A and B of M has a common direct complement if and only if there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $eM = A$ and $e \mid_B : B \to A$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 2.3. [8, Ehrlichs Theorem 1.1] A ring R is IC if and only if $R = A_1 \oplus B = A_2 \oplus C$ with $A_1 \cong A_2$ implies $B \cong C$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be SSP. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *R is IC;*

(2) *For any regular $a, b \in R$, $Ra + Rb = R$ implies that there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $a + eb \in U(R)$ and $aR \oplus eR = R$.*

(3) *Every regular element in R is special clean.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose that $Ra + Rb = R$ and $a, b \in R$ are regular. Write $a = axa$ and $x = xax$ for some $x \in R$. Let $K = r(a), D = xaR, I = aR$ and $C = (1 - ax)R$. Then $R = K \oplus D = C \oplus I$ with $a|_D : D \cong I$. Since R is IC, we see that $K \cong C$. Clearly, $K \bigcap r(b) = 0$. Hence, $b : K \to bK$ is an isomorphism, and then $C \cong K \cong bK$.

Since $Ra + Rb = R$, we see that $Rb(1 - xa) = R(1 - xa)$. We infer that $b(1 - xa) \in R$ is regular, and then $bK = b(1 - xa)R$ is a direct summand of R. Thus, we have an idempotent $f \in R$ such that $bK = fR$. Set $g = 1 - xa$. Then $C = gR$ and $fR \cong gR$. As R is IC, we get $(1-f)R \cong (1-g)R$. In view of [6, Proposition 2.5], R has the summand intersection property, i.e., the intersection of two direct summands of R is a direct summand. Thus, $fR \bigcap gR$ is a direct summand of R. Write $R = fR \bigcap gR \oplus L$. Then $fR = fR \bigcap gR \oplus fR \bigcap L$ and $gR = fR \bigcap gR \oplus gR \bigcap L$. This shows that

$$R = fR \bigcap gR \oplus (1 - f)R \oplus fR \bigcap L = fR \bigcap gR \oplus (1 - g)R \oplus gR \bigcap L.$$

As $fR \bigcap gR \oplus (1 - f)R \cong fR \bigcap gR \oplus (1 - g)R$, we get $\varphi : fR \bigcap L \cong gR \bigcap L$.

Let $E = \{x + \varphi(x) \mid x \in fR \bigcap L\}$. Clearly, $fR + gR = fR + E$. If $x + \varphi(x) \in fR \bigcap E$ for $x \in fR \bigcap L$, then $\varphi(x) = \big(x + \varphi(x)\big) - x \in \big(gR \bigcap L\big) \bigcap fR = \big(fR \bigcap gR\big) \bigcap L = 0$. As φ is an isomorphism, we get $x = 0$, and so $x + \varphi(x) = 0$. We infer that $fR \bigcap E = \emptyset$. Thus, $fR + gR = fR \oplus E$. Likewise, $fR + gR = gR \oplus E$. Since R is SSP, there exists a right R-module F such that

$$R = (fR + gR) \oplus F = bK \oplus (E \oplus F) = C \oplus (E \oplus F).$$

Hence, C and bK has a common direct complement. In view of Lemma 2.2, we have an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $eR = C$ and $e : bK \to C$ is an isomorphism. Clearly, $R = aR \oplus C = aR \oplus eR$. Let $u := a + eb : R = K \oplus D \to R, x + y \mapsto a(y) + eb(x + y)$ for any $x \in K, y \in D$.

One easily checks that the diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & K & \to & K \oplus D & \to & D & \to & 0 \\ & & eb \downarrow & & a + eb \downarrow & & a \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \to & C & \to & C \oplus I & \to & I & \to & 0. \end{array}$$

commutes. As $eb : K \to C$ and $a : D \to I$ are both isomorphisms, by using the Five Lemma, we conclude that $a + eb \in U(R)$, as asserted.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) For any regular $a \in R$, we see that $Ra + R(-1) = R$. Thus, we can find an idempotent $e \in R$ such tht $a - e \in U(R)$ and $aR \bigcap eR = 0$. That is, $a \in R$ is special clean.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) In view of Lemma 2.1, every regular element in R is unit-regular. Therefore R is IC, as asserted. □

Remark 2.5. From a similar proof of Theorem 2.4, we actually derive a stronger version: An SSP ring R is IC if and only if for any regular $a, b \in R$, $r(a) \bigcap r(b) = 0$ implies that there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $a + eb \in U(R)$ and $aR \oplus eR = R$. As SSP and IC are both left-right symmetric properties, we see that an SSP ring R is IC if and only if for any regular $a, b \in R$, $aR + bR = R$ implies that there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $a + be \in U(R)$ and $Ra \oplus Re = R$, by applying Theorem 2.4 to the opposite ring R^{op} of R.

Corollary 2.6 [Camillo-Khurana's theorem] *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *R is unit-regular.*

(2) *Every element in R is special clean.*

Proof. This is obvious by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.1. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let R be abelian. Then for any regular $a \in R$, there exists a unique idempotent $e \in R$ and a unit $u \in R$ such that $a = e + u$ and $aR \bigcap eR = 0$.*

Proof. Clearly, R is SSP and IC. Let $a \in R$ be regular. Then $a \in R$ is special clean, by Theorem 2.4. Assume that there exist idempotents $e, e' \in R$ and units $u, u' \in R$ such that $a = e + u = e' + u'$ and $aR \bigcap eR = 0 = aR \bigcap e'R$. Then $au^{-1} = eu^{-1} + 1$. As R is abelian, we see that $au^{-1}(1-e) = 1 - e$, and so $au^{-1}(1-e)e' = e'(1-e) \in aR \bigcap e'R = 0$. This forces that $e' = e'e$. Likewise, $e = ee'$. Therefore $e = e'$, as needed.

Example 2.8. The ring $\mathbb{Z}$ of all integers is an SSP ring having IC, but it has not stable range 1.

Theorem 2.9 *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *R is SSP and IC.*

(2) *The product of two regular elements is unit-regular.*

(3) *The product of two regular elements is special clean.*

Proof. (1) ⇒ (3) Let $a, b \in R$ be regular. Since R is IC, we can find idempotents $e, f \in R$ and units $u, v \in R$ such that $a = ue$ and $b = fv$. Then $ab = u(ef)v$. Observing that

$$(1-e)R \oplus efR = (1-e)R + fR = gR \text{ for some idempotent } g \in R,$$

we get $R = efR \oplus (1-e)R \oplus (1-g)R$. This implies that $ef \in R$ is regular. Hence, $u(ef)v$ is regular, and then so is ab in R. By virtue of Theorem 2.4, $ab \in R$ is special clean, as required.

(3) ⇒ (2) This is clear in terms if Lemma 2.1.

(2) ⇒ (1) Clearly, every regular element in R is unit-regular. That is, R is IC. Let $e, f \in R$ be idempotents. Then $eR + fR = eR + (1-e)fR$. By hypothesis, there exists an idempotent $g \in R$ such that $(1-e)fR = gR$. Then we check that $eR + fR = (e+g)R$ where $e + g \in R$ is an idempotent. Therefore $eR + fR$ is a direct summand of R, hence that R is SSP. □

Corollary 2.10 *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *R is SSP and IC.*

(2) *The product of finitely many of regular elements is unit-regular.*

(3) *The product of finitely many elements is special clean.*

Proof. (1) ⇒ (3) Let $a = a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}a_n (n \geq 2)$ where each $a_i \in R$ is regular. Then $a_1a_2 \in R$ is regular, by Theorem 2.9. By iteration of this process, we see that $a_1a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} \in R$ is regular. In light of Theorem 2.9, we conclude that $(a_1 \cdots a_{n-1})a_n \in R$ is special clean. Therefore $a \in R$ is special clean, as desired.

(3) ⇒ (2) This is obvious by Lemma 2.1.

(2) ⇒ (1) is trivial from Theorem 2.9. □

We note that the number of regular elements in Theorem 2.9 can not be reduced from two to one as the following shows.

Example 2.11. Let $R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_3 & \mathbb{Z}_3 \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z}_3 \end{pmatrix}$. One easily checks that R has stable range one. In view of Theorem 1.1, every regular element in R is special clean. Choose $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $e, f \in R$ are idempotents, and then regular. But $ef = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R$ is not regular, as $ef \notin (ef)R(ef) = 0$. Thus, $ef \in R$ is not special clean.

References

[1] E. Akalan and L. Vas, Classes of almost clean rings, *Algebra Represent. Theory*, **16**(2013) 843–857.

[2] V.P. Camillo and D. Khurana, A characterization of unit regular rings, *Comm. Algebra,* **29**(2001), 2293–2295.

[3] V. Camillo and H.P. Yu, Exchange rings, units and idempotents, *Comm. Algebra,* **22**(1994), 4737–4749.

[4] H. Chen, Exchange rings with stable range one, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **57(132)** (2007), 579-590.

[5] H. Chen, *Rings Related Stable Range Conditions*, Series in Algebra 11, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2011.

[6] J.L. Garcia, Properties of direct summands of modules, *Comm. Algebra* **17**(1989), 73-92.

[7] K.R. Goodearl, *Von Neumann Regular Rings*, Pitman, Krieger, Malabar, Fl., 1991.

[8] D. Khurana and T.Y. Lam, Rings with internal cancellation, *J. Algebra* **284** (2005), 203-235.

[9] Z. Wang, J. Chen and D. Khurana, Rings of idempotent stable range one, *Algebra Represent. Theory* **15** (2012), 195-200.